

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/604,988                                   | 08/29/2003      | Marwan H. Khater     | FIS920030097US1         | 1987             |
| 32074  | 7590 11/19/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION  |                 |                      | DANG, PHUC T            |                  |
| DEPT. 18G<br>BLDG. 300-482                   |                 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| 2070 ROUTE 52<br>HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533 |                 |                      | 2818                    |                  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summer  | 10/604,988   | KHATER ET AL.8   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | PHUC T DANG  | 2818   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on election   | on filed on October 26, 2003.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | <del></del>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | <b>53 O</b> .G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7 and 27-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-26</u> is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  | ,  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Burea  |  | ou in the reneme enga  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  |  | ed.  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>082903 &amp; 091003</u>.</li> </ul>  | [ ]  | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |
| C. Detant and Trademark Office  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election filed on October 26, 2004 has been considered.

In election, Applicants elect Group II (claims 8-26) for examining at this time.

Applicants have the right to file a divisional of application covering the subject matters of the non-elected claims of Group I (claims 1-7 and 27-30).

Claims 1-30 are currently pending in the application.

#### Oath/Declaration

2. The oath/declaration filed on August 29, 2003 is acceptable.

#### **Information Disclosure Statement**

3. The office acknowledges receipt of the following items from the applicant:

Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on August 29, 2003 and September 10, 2003.

# **Specification**

4. The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was

Art Unit: 2818

commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Johnson (U.S. Patent No. 5,541,121).

Regarding claim 8, Johnson discloses a transistor comprising:

a remaining portion of an emitter landing pad (132, Fig. 6) that is distanced (120, Fig. 6) from an intrinsic base (1108, Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 9, Johnson discloses the remaining portion is distanced from the intrinsic base (108, Fig. 6) by extrinsic base layer (110, Fig. 6), and the extrinsic base layer (110, Fig. 6) includes an oxide section (130, Fig. 6) that determines a distance between an emitter (132, Fig. 6) and an extrinsic base (110, Fig. 6).

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 13-26 would be allowed.

The following is a statement of reason for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 13-26 are considered allowable since the prior art of record and the considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure does not teach or suggest the claimed invention having a remaining portion of an emitter landing pad that separates each of the first and second extrinsic base layer from one another adjacent the emitter as recited in claim 13 and an oxide section in an

Art Unit: 2818

extrinsic base layer, the oxide portion extending below a part of the remaining portion, wherein a width of the oxide section determines an amount of base resistance as recited in claim 23.

Claims 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the Prior Art of record discloses a width of the oxide section determines a base resistance as recited in claim 10 and the width of the oxide section determines a length of the remaining portion that current must traverse as current passes through the extrinsic base as recited in claim 11 and the thickness of the oxide section is sufficient to prevent current from having to traverse the remaining portion as recited in claim 12.

#### Conclusion

- 7. Applicants are advised to cancel the non-elected claims of Group I (claims 1-7 and 27-30) in response to the next Office action if the application is considered to be allowed.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuc T. Dang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1776. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am-5:00 pm.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2818

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ρ

Langemen

Phuc T. Dang

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2818